

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,667	12/28/2005	Rudolf Fuchs	3558	5919	
Chuilean Chuilean	7590 01/14/2008		EXAMINER		
Striker, Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road			TSO, EDWARD H		
Huntington, N	Y 11743		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2838		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				TH	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/562,667	FUCHS, RUDOLF	FUCHS, RUDOLF	
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Edward Tso	2838		
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress	
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from the lift NO period for reply is specification Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR he mailing date of this communication. fied above, the maximum statutory per or extended period for reply will, by statice later than three months after the materials.	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A ailing date of this communication, even if	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				•	
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 10	<u>0/17/2007</u> .			
2a)☐ This action is FI l	NAL. 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.			
		wance except for formal mat er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	•	merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/ 7) ☐ Claim(s)		drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification	is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
- · · ·	·	accepted or b) Objected to	-		
* *	, , ,	the drawing(s) be held in abeya		·D 4 404/d/	
<u> </u>		rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attache	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				
a) All b) Som 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of application	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority docume copies of the priority docume the certified copies of the p n from the International Bure	ents have been received in A priority documents have beer	Application No received in this National s	Stage	
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cite	d (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

10/562,667 Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102/103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyodo et al. (US 6,066,938). The reference discloses a battery 5 for a power tool 1 having coupling unit (not numbered) is provided to charge the battery without removing the battery from the tool. See figure 1 and columns 3 and 4. It is however silent on the type of battery as defined by Applicant (replaceable rechargeable battery). It does provide a way to remove the battery from the power tool by way of the cover 6. Hence it does meet Applicant's definition of 'replaceable.' In arguendo, it would have been obvious to have the battery be replaceable since over time, the battery will deteriorate and it needs to be 'replaced.'

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument to the term "replaceable" is not convincing since all battery, sooner or later, would need to be discarded and replaced. The reference takes

Art Unit: 2838

Page 3

it into account by provide a cover 6 for such a replaceable battery. However, the Examiner did revamp his rejection to have the claims rejected under 102/103 scenerio.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By: /Edward H Tso/ ,

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087